Unusual Kinetically Stable Dialkyltin(ν) Oxides; X-Ray Structures of [{SnR₂(μ -O)}₂] and [{SnR₂(OH)}₂(μ -O)] [R = CH(SiMe₃)₂][†]

Michael A. Edelman, Peter B. Hitchcock, and Michael F. Lappert

School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK

Treatment of Sn_2R_4 [R = CH(SiMe_3)_2] with Me_3NO in n-C₆H₁₄ affords orange [{SnR₂(μ -O)}₂] (1), which with H₂O in tetrahydrofuran instantly yields colourless [{SnR₂(OH)}₂(μ -O)] (2) which under reflux in PhMe readily reverts to (1); the average Sn–O(μ) bond lengths are 1.96(2) for (1) and 1.956(4) for (2), Sn–OH is 2.032(7) Å for (2), and the Sn–O(μ)–Sn' angles are 97.5(6) for (1) and 125.0(5)° for (2).

Stannoxanes [{SnR'₂(μ -O)}_n] are often insoluble polymers. If R' is a bulky hydrocarbyl group, cyclic, crystalline, lipophilic trimers are established. These, like the unsymmetrical cyclostannoxane [{Sn(MeR")(μ -O)}₂{Sn(MeR)(μ -O)}] (I),¹ have a planar [R' = Bu^t, (II);^{2,3} t-pentyl, (III);³ or C₆H₃Et₂-2,6, (IV)⁴] or a flattened boat-shaped [R' = C₆H₂Me₃-2,4,6, (V)]⁵ (SnO)₃ ring [R = CH(SiMe₃)₂, R" = C(SiMe₃)₃]. By contrast, four-membered, planar ring structures are found for the colourless, crystalline (i) heavier chalcogen analogues [{SnBu^t₂(μ -E)}₂] (E = S, Se, or Te),⁶ and (ii) a mixed *O*-,*S*-compound [(SnR'₂)₂(μ -O)(μ -S)] [R' = C₆H₂Prⁱ₃-2,4,6, (VI)];⁷ but [{SnMe₂(μ -Te)}₃] has a puckered (SnTe)₃ skeleton.⁸ Each compound was prepared from an appropriate Sn^{IV} precursor: SnR'₂X₂ (X = Cl or Br),^{2—6} SnMe(R")Cl₂,¹ or (SnR'₂Br)₂.⁷ We now report the first cyclodistannoxane, $[\{SnR_2(\mu-O)\}_2]$ (1), an orange, crystalline compound. It was obtained by a novel, mild, oxidative route from a Sn^{II} precursor, Scheme 1. Such oxidation using Me₃NO may have some generality for preparing complexes of type $[\{ML_m(\mu-O)\}_n]$ having M in the oxidation state x from an M^(x-2) precursor (*e.g.*, M^x = Tl^{III}, Ge^{IV}, Pb^{IV}, or Zr^{IV}). We further find that (i) controlled hydrolysis of (1) yields colourless $[\{SnR_2(OH)\}_2(\mu-O)]$ (2),‡ the first member of a new class of organotin(IV) hydroxide;

[†] No reprints available.

 $[\]ddagger NMR chemical shifts (\delta) [\delta in ppm, C_6D_6CD_3, 305 K, for ¹H at 80.13 MHz, for ¹³C at 90.66 MHz, and for ¹¹⁹Sn at 134.29 MHz rel. to SnMe₄)]. For ¹H: (1): 0.21 (s, 36H) and 1.46 (s, 4H); (2): 0.37 (s, 18H), 0.43 (s, 18H), and 1.48 (s, 4H). For ¹³C: (1) 3.61 (Me) and 15.82 (CH); (2): 4.03 and 4.35 (Me), 16.32 (CH) [¹J(¹³C-¹¹⁹Sn) 132 Hz, 1/(¹³C-¹¹⁷Sn) 138.5 Hz]. For ¹¹⁹Sn: (1) not observed; (2) 17.45 [²J(¹¹⁹Sn-¹¹⁷Sn) 524 Hz]. The magnetically inequivalent SiMe₃ groups in (2) are a consequence of the prochirality at Sn.$

Sn ₂ R ₄ -	$[{SnR}_2(\mu-O)]_2] = [[$	[{SnR ₂ (OH)} ₂ (µ–O)]
ref. 8	(1)	(2)
	orange	colourless

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the cyclodistannoxane (1) and the bis-[dialkyl(hydroxo)tin(v)] oxide (2). Abbreviation: $R = CH(SiMe_3)_2$. Reagents and conditions: i, 2Me₃NO, n-C₆H₁₄, 0 °C, 2 h; ii, 1H₂O, thf, 20 °C; iii, PhMe, reflux, 5 min. Isolation: (1), 67% (reaction i), by crystallisation from C₆H₁₄ at 25 °C; (2), 82%, by crystallisation from PhMe at -30 °C.

Compound ^a	<sn–o>(Å)</sn–o>	<snosn>(°)</snosn>	Ref.
(I)	1.96(1)	133(1)	1
(ÌI)	1.96(1)	133(1)	3
(ÌII)	1.96(1)	134(1)	3
(IV)	1.95(2)	136(1)	4
(V)	1.97(1)	121(1)	5
(VI)	2.03(1)	101.7(4)	7
(1)	1.96(2)	97.5(6)	This work

and (ii) dehydration of (2) regenerates (1), Scheme 1. Compound (1), unlike (2), surprisingly, was only sparingly soluble in hydrocarbons, Et_2O , or tetrahydrofuran (thf).

The X-ray structure§ of the unusual cyclodistannoxane (1) is illustrated in Figure 1. It warrants juxtaposition with that of the recently reported colourless, crystalline, lipophilic cyclodisiloxane $[Si(C_6H_2Me_3-2,4,6)_2(\mu-O)]_2$ (VII), for which SiSi bonding was discussed.⁹ Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) are given in structures (1a) and (VIIa). Relevant also are (i) the interatomic distances for the tetrahedral Group 14 elements: 2.35 Å (Si) and 2.81 Å (Sn); and (ii) twice the sum of the van der Waals radii for Si (4.20 Å), Sn (4.40 Å), and O (2.80 Å). Further comparative data on Sn^{IV}O cyclic systems are in Table 1. The preference for the four-membered ring in (1) cannot be attributed solely to a steric effect as the more hindered crystalline $[(Sn{CH(But)SiMe_3}_2(\mu-O)]_3$ has been reported to have a configuration similar to that of (V).¹⁰

§ Crystal data: (a) [{SnR₂(μ -O)}₂] (1): C₂₈H₇₆O₂Si₈Sn₂, M = 907.0, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 9.572(6), b = 11.712(9), c = 12.326(13) Å, $\alpha = 77.33(7)$, $\beta = 68.27(7)$, $\gamma = 67.19(6)^{\circ}$, U = 1178 Å³, Z = 1, $D_c = 1.28$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 472, μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 12.8 cm⁻¹, λ (Mo- K_{α}) = 0.71069 Å. Data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer at room temperature in the range 2 < $\theta < 20^{\circ}$. Of the 2189 unique reflections measured, 1343 with $I > \sigma(I)$ were used in the refinement, giving R = 0.082, $R_w = 0.089$. The molecule lies on a crystallographic inversion centre.

(b) $[\{SnR_2(OH)\}_2(\mu-O)]$ (2): $C_{28}H_{78}O_3Si_8Sn_2$, M = 925.0, orthorhombic, space group *Pbcn*, a = 15.524(4), b = 16.468(6), c = 19.258(6) Å, U = 4923 Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.25$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1928, $\mu(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 12.3$ cm⁻¹. Of the 4812 unique reflections measured (for $2 < \theta < 25^{\circ}$), 1886 with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were used in the refinement, giving R = 0.054, $R_w = 0.056$. The molecule lies on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis.

For both structures an empirical absorption correction was applied. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically; hydrogen atoms were included at fixed calculated positions, but in (2) the hydroxy hydrogen atoms were omitted.

Atomic co-ordinates bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.



Figure 1. The molecular structure of $[{SnR_2(\mu-O)}_2]$ (1). Selected bond lengths and angles are: Sn–O 1.94(2), Sn–O' 1.98(1), Sn–C(1) 1.97(3), Sn–C(8) 2.10(2) Å; O–Sn–O' 82.5(6), O–Sn–C(1) 113.3(7), O–Sn–C(8) 110.4(9), O'–Sn–C(1) 116.2(7), O'–Sn–C(8) 108.5(6), C(1)–Sn–C(8) 119.9(9)°.



Figure 2. The molecular structure of $[\{SnR_2(OH)\}_2(\mu-O)]$ (2). Selected bond lengths and angles are: Sn–O(1) 1.956(4), Sn–O(2) 2.032(7), Sn–C(1) 2.108(10), Sn–C(2) 2.146(10) Å, O(1)–Sn–O(2) 100.7(3), O(1)–Sn–C(1) 111.9(3), O(1)–Sn–C(2) 103.9(3), O(2)–Sn–C(1) 103.5(3), O(2)–Sn–C(2) 115.1(3), C(1)–Sn–C(2) 120.2(4), Sn–O(1)–Sn' 125.0(5)°.

The colour of (1) must be due to an unusually small HOMO-LUMO separation. The low hydrocarbon-solubility cannot be due to close intermolecular contacts: there are none less than 6 Å to Sn.

The X-ray structure of $[{SnR_2(OH)}_2(\mu-O)]$ (2) is illustrated in Figure 2. Because (i) the Sn–O(μ) bond length is similar to that in cyclostannoxanes (Table 1), (ii) the Sn–O(μ)–Sn' angle is similar to that in cyclotristannoxanes

(Table 1), and (iii) the Sn–O(H) bond is significantly longer than Sn–O(μ), it is likely that there is significant Sn–O(μ) π -bonding, or O–O-nonbonded interactions.¹¹ The relative disposition of the two hydroxy oxygen atoms, one pointing away from and the other into the Sn–O(μ)–Sn' plane, makes it unlikely that there is hydrogen bonding. Organotin hydroxides generally have a bridging –OH, as in [Sn(μ -OH)Ph₃] $_{\infty}$, Sn–O 2.197(5) Å.¹² A rare case of a compound having a terminal –OH is tris(tropolonato)tin hydroxide, Sn–O(H) 1.974(6) Å.¹³

We thank Mr. N. Sarjudeen for assistance in determining the X-ray structure of complex (1), and SERC and the Leverhulme Trust for support.

Received, 1st May 1990; Com. 0/01932A

References

1 V. K. Belsky, N. N. Zemlyansky, I. V. Borisova, N. D. Kolosova, and I. P. Beletskaya, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 254, 189.

- 2 H. Puff, W. Schuh, R. Sievers, and R. Zimmer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1981, 20, 591.
- 3 H. Puff, W. Schuh, R. Sievers, W. Wald, and R. Zimmer, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1984, **260**, 271.
- 4 S. Masamune, I. R. Sita, and D. J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 630.
- 5 U. Weber, N. Pauls, W. Winter, and H. B. Stegmann, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1982, 37, 1316.
- 6 H. Puff, R. Gattermeyer, R. Hundt, and R. Zimmer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1977, 16, 547.
- 7 P. Brown, M. F. Mahon, and K. C. Molloy, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 1621.
- 8 A. Blecher and M. Dräger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1979, 18, 677.
- 9 M. J. Fink, K. J. Haller, R. West, and J. Michl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 822.
- 10 W. Schuh, Dissertation, Bonn, 1982, cited in ref. 3.
- 11 C. Glidewell, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1975, 12, 219.
- 12 C. Glidewell and D. C. Liles, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1978, 34, 129.
- 13 J. J. Park, D. M. Collins, and J. L. Hoard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 3636.